

Are learning portfolios worth the effort?

Erik Driessen assistant professor, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands e.driessen@educ.unimaas.nl

YES A major challenge facing us today is the move to assess doctors' performance in the workplace instead of the examination hall. The portfolio remains our best solution. It allows the collation and integration of evidence on competence and performance from different sources to gain a comprehensive picture of everyday practice. Simultaneously, portfolios can guide and coach professional development. Studies in multiple contexts confirm that this is feasible if, and only if, users take on board the conditions required for effective use of portfolios.^{1 2}

Portfolios work

To provide credible evidence of fitness to practise doctors have to show in realistic, often stressful, situations that they are competent in all aspects of patient management, diagnostics, communication, teamwork, administration, and professionalism. Since the 1990s various instruments have been developed to assess workplace based learning: the mini-clinical evaluation exercise, multisource feedback, case based discussions, clinical work sampling, and direct observation of procedural skills.³

These tools provide piecemeal information on performance. None is perfect. A portfolio amalgamates evidence from the different sources, allowing assessors to make an overall judgment of competency. The strengths of one assessment method can compensate for the limitations of another. Recent reviews confirm that portfolios effectively assess day to day performance.^{1 2} A comprehensive range of information, collated in this way, can produce a well founded summative judgment.¹ There is an important additional advantage. Doctors or students can simultaneously analyse their own performance. They can reflect on and improve their practice and set realistic objectives for further learning.^{4 5}

However, when weighing the merits of portfolios, it is essential to realise that there is no one standard portfolio. Portfolios are as diverse as their potential content and can be adapted for various purposes.⁶ Their flexibility

is a clear advantage. They can be tailored to the specific objectives or outcomes being assessed. Introducing portfolios is like buying new shoes: one size does not fit all. Careful fitting is essential. Their flexibility becomes a disadvantage when they are not tailored to the objectives they are supposed to help attain. If this is the case, misunderstandings arise.

When are portfolios worth the effort?

Despite many vociferous advocates, portfolios can be unpopular with medical teachers and students. Frequently heard complaints are: "It takes up far too much time," "Why do we have to lug around these useless piles of paper?" and "What on earth am I to put in this self-reflection report?"

What are the facts? Research into their effectiveness is as heterogeneous as the portfolios themselves. Many reports have methodological limitations.¹ However, the studies have several common findings. The literature shows that inappropriate use of portfolios can seriously undermine any potential benefit.^{1 2} Although the portfolio concept may seem deceptively simple, it is only too easy for the desired integrated, comprehensive picture to drown in a disorganised mess of useless information. Fortunately, the literature shows that three simple conditions can prevent this.^{1 2 7}

Mentoring is the single most decisive success factor.^{7 8} Without an audience, every portfolio is arguably a waste of time.⁹ If students or doctors are to remain motivated to collate a portfolio, they need regular meetings with their mentor to reflect on the information, diagnose the state of their competence, and set further learning goals. Evidence shows that portfolios improve the planning and monitoring of continuing medical education by combining external assessment and self assessment with mentoring. They enable the doctor to develop more challenging learning goals than is customary in traditional continuing medical education.^{10 11}

Secondly, the portfolio must be smart and lean.¹ Doctors and students alike have a healthy dislike for messy and massive portfolios.¹² A user friendly portfolio contains well organised materials confined to the portfolio's purpose. It

must be located quickly and with ease. Finally, users must have clear instructions and guidelines.¹³ For many, portfolios are foreign to the educational tradition they are accustomed to. The concept of combining formative professional development alongside summative assessment is new. Clear guidelines on the purpose, contents, and organisation of the portfolio are essential.¹⁴

Careful implementation is crucial. A strong resistance to the portfolio can be unleashed when learners are forced to stick to a rigidly prescribed format.^{4 15} Conversely, when learners are allowed to create a portfolio that reflects their personal interests and concerns, they will have a sense of ownership and be motivated to develop its content.^{7 16}

We need to overcome existing tensions in portfolio design and seek a strong evidence base to optimise their use. With proper mentoring, restricted but relevant content, and well balanced guidelines reflective of its purpose, a portfolio undoubtedly makes an important contribution to the effective assessment, both formative and summative, of performance in the workplace.

Competing interests: None declared.

Cite this as *BMJ* 2008;337:a513

"Their flexibility is a clear advantage"



SCOTTRAFOTOLIA

Portfolios were introduced with the aim of improving the learning and assessment of doctors. **Erik Driessen** believes that they work well when used correctly, but **Geoff Norman** remains unconvinced

Geoff Norman professor, MDCL 3519, McMaster University, 1200 Main St W, Hamilton, ON, Canada 8N 3Z5
norman@mcmaster.ca

NO Learning portfolios, defined by one group as “a collection of a learner’s work that gives evidence of learning and may be used for the purposes of assessment”¹ have received increased attention of educators over the past few years. According to a systematic review by Driessen and colleagues, they have the potential to improve formative and summative assessment at all levels from undergraduate to post-professional education.² The learning portfolio is not just another learning or assessment method. It is really a Jack of all trades, appearing in multiple guises to do almost anything we demand of it for learners at any level from novice to expert. It is an opportunity for students to report on “work done, feedback received, progress made and plans for improving competence.”²

How can it adopt so many roles? Simply because the title really is an accurate description—it is a portfolio with individually specified content. Although, this makes for maximum versatility, it also creates difficulties for anyone attempting to decide whether

portfolios are good or bad. Unless you can specify what lies inside the folder, it’s difficult to make generalisations about the value of the method. Asking whether learning portfolios are good for learning or assessment is a bit like asking whether drugs are good for treating disease. The answer must be, “It depends.” However, fools rush in, so I will attempt some general observations.

Lack of evidence

Although the review found that, consistent with the rhetoric, most people regarded learning portfolios as useful for reflective learning, professional development, etc, this conclusion was based almost entirely on self perception. One study was conducted with practising physicians and examined self reported intention to change their practices.³ The other used interviews of students’ perceptions of their personal and professional development.⁴ It is doubtful whether these self assessments can be treated as legitimate performance measures, particularly in view of the considerable literature indicating that people, including health professionals, have enormous difficulty assessing themselves.⁵ Furthermore, it takes a lot of work to write portfolios and to mark them. Use of portfolios in the final examination at Dundee required at least 3-4 examiner hours for each student,⁶ a total of 400-500 hours of examiner time.

And it’s not clear that the effort is rewarded. In one study, only 35% of trainees thought it provided an opportunity to analyse critical clinical incidents and only 15% thought it analysed critical incidents of professional behaviour⁷; another study found that only about half of trainee doctors and supervisors thought portfolios were “a good idea,” and trainees described a “sense of burden” associated with their use.⁸

Although portfolios have been used in summative assessment, occasionally in very high stakes situations,⁶ the evidence of reliability and validity is quite sparse. Several studies of inter-rater reliability showed an average reliability of 0.63, which is only marginally acceptable. Even this seems optimistic to me; portfolios are usually

unstructured essays, and reliability of essay rating is notoriously poor.⁹ Further, although many studies show poor reliability, not much is known about why.

What does it show?

Evidence of validity is based on one study,¹⁰ which claimed that that the portfolio was a valid test of reflective ability, since “quality of reflection” assessed by review of the portfolio predicted the final grade. However, the final grade was based in the same portfolio, using different raters and criteria. This might be seen more as evidence of inter-rater, inter-form reliability than of validity. But none of this addresses content validity. The portfolio is likely to be a highly unrepresentative sample of performance because the student generally decides what is included. It remains to be seen how much selective content sampling is affecting validity since no studies have looked at this.¹¹

Does this then imply that there is no role for learning portfolios? Well, it may be that their real value is a side effect of the drug. It may not have

much use in summative assessment; there is really no evidence, despite the rhetoric, that it assesses unique aspects of competence validly, and it certainly is more labour intensive than alternatives like multiple choice questions that have proved reliability and validity. It may not be possible to show that the learning portfolio stimulates learning. And if it is applied mechanically, participants clearly view it as nothing more than a labour intensive add-on.² But when it is integrated into the curriculum, it will force students to write something and teachers to spend some time individually with students to review their work. To the extent that it brings teachers and students together, it may have value as a counterpoint to the anonymity of the culture of lecture learning and sit down final examinations that is regrettably far too typical. And that’s not an entirely bad thing.

Competing interests: None declared.
Cite this as *BMJ* 2008;337:a514

All references are in the version on bmj.com

WHERE DO YOU STAND ON THE ISSUE?
Tell us on bmj.com

